AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09)

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Fred Dennis Hall	Case No. 1:15-mj-00096-ESC
	Defendant	
	After conducting a detention hearing under the lefendant be detained pending trial.	e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	F	Part I – Findings of Fact
(1)		escribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U which the prison term is 10 years or n	J.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for nore.
	an offense for which the maximum se	entence is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum priso	on term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compar	
	any felony that is not a crime of violer a minor victim the possession or use of a failure to register under	a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)	) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
(4)		ole presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another defendant has not rebutted that presumption.
	A	Alternative Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the	
	for which a maximum prison term of to Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)		ption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions earance and the safety of the community.
1 (4)	4	Alternative Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant w	ill endanger the safety of another person or the community.
(2)		ement of the Reasons for Detention
evidence	find that the testimony and information subm a preponderance of the evidence that:	nitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing ndant may bring the issue of his continuing d	etention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.
	Part III – I	Directions Regarding Detention
correction appeal. States Co defendar	The defendant is committed to the custody of ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, The defendant must be afforded a reasonable ourt or on request of an attorney for the Gove It to the United States marshal for a court app	the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending e opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United ernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the pearance.
Date:	May 14, 2015 Jud	lge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge